

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7561
10/782,965	02/23/2004		Lloyd P. Johnston	000166.0096-US02	
26853	7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
COVINGTON & BURLING ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING				PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
		IA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401				3721	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. Appli	cant(s)					
		10/782,965	ЛОНО	JOHNSTON ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	nit					
		John R Paradiso	3721						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresp	ondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how ation. ys, a reply within the statutory miny period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be o SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>2/2<u>3/2004</u>.</i>							
2a)□	_	☐ This action is non-fin	al.	,					
3)□									
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	numents have been reco numents have been reco ne priority documents h	eived. eived in Application No. ave been received in th	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attaçhmen		🗂		42)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (PTO-41 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/2004</u> .								

Application/Control Number: 10/782,965

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6715259 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the carousel of US Patent No. 6715259 in order to reduce the complexity of the invention, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same function as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,965

Art Unit: 3721

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

December 13, 2004

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:

(571) 308-7135

Fax (Official):

(703) 872-9306

Fax (Direct to Examiner)

(571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)